

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

**LINDA JOYCE STINSON,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**No. 13-cv-0452 LH/SMV**

**WAL-MART ASSOCS., INC.,**

**Defendant.**

**INITIAL SCHEDULING ORDER**

This case is assigned to me for scheduling, case management, discovery, and all non-dispositive motions. Both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as amended, as well as the Local Rules of the Court apply to this lawsuit. Civility and professionalism will be required of counsel. Counsel shall read and comply with “A Lawyer’s Creed of Professionalism of the State Bar of New Mexico.”

The parties, appearing through counsel or pro se, will “meet and confer” no later than **Friday, June 28, 2013**, to formulate a Provisional Discovery Plan. Fed R. Civ. P. 26(f). The time allowed for discovery is generally 150 to 180 days and will run from the Rule 16 initial scheduling conference. Initial disclosures under Fed R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be made within fourteen days of the meet-and-confer session.

The parties will cooperate in preparing a Joint Status Report and Provision Discovery Plan (“JSR”), following the sample JSR available at the Court’s web site. The blanks for suggested/proposed dates are to be filled in by the parties. Actual case management deadlines

will be determined by the Court after consideration of the parties' requests. Plaintiff (or Defendant in removed cases) is responsible for filing the JSR by **Monday, July 8, 2013**.

Parties may not modify case management deadlines on their own. Good cause must be shown and the Court's express and written approval obtained for any modification of the dates in the scheduling order that issues from the JSR.

A Rule 16 initial scheduling conference will be held by telephone on **Friday, July 19, 2013, at 1:30 p.m.** Parties shall call Judge Vidmar's "Meet Me" line at 505-348-2357 to be connected to the proceedings. Counsel shall be prepared to discuss the following: discovery needs and scheduling, all claims and defenses, the use of scientific evidence and whether a *Daubert* hearing is needed, *see Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579, 590-92 (1993), initial disclosures, and the timing of expert disclosures and reports under Fed R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2). We will also discuss settlement prospects, alternative dispute resolution possibilities, and consideration of consent pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). Client attendance is not required.

Pre-trial practice in this case shall be in accordance with the foregoing.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the deadlines shall be as follows:

<b>Meet and Confer by:</b>	<b>June 28, 2013</b>
<b>JSR filed by:</b>	<b>July 8, 2013</b>
<b>Initial Disclosures due within fourteen days of the meet-and-confer session, but in no event later than:</b>	<b>July 12, 2013</b>
<b>Telephonic Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference:</b>	<b>July 19, 2013, at 1:30 p.m.</b>

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**STEPHAN M. VIDMAR**  
United States Magistrate Judge